Adaptive Differential Discontinuous High-Order Methods in CFD

Z.J. Wang (zjwang.com) Spahr Professor and Chair Department of Aerospace Engineering University of Kansas, Lawrence, U.S.A.





Outline

Lecture 1:

- Introduction and review
- Extending a 1st order scheme to higher-order
 - Discontinuous Galerkin
 - Spectral volume
 - Spectral difference
 - Correction procedure via reconstruction or flux reconstruction

Lecture 2:

- Extension to multiple dimensions
- Extension to viscous problems





Outline (cont.)

- Lecture 3:
 - Boundary conditions
 - Shock capturing
 - oLimiter
 - Artificial viscosity
- ►Lecture 4:
 - Solution based hp-adaptations
 - Sample demonstration problems
 - Remaining research issues





Outline

Lecture 1:

- Introduction and review
- Extending a 1st order scheme to higher-order
 - Discontinuous Galerkin
 - Spectral volume
 - Spectral difference
 - Correction procedure via reconstruction or flux reconstruction





My Philosophy

- To present key ideas in 1D, not dwell on implementation details
- To show how these ideas were developed so you can develop new ones
- >Highlight the similarities and differences, pros and cons wherever possible





Introduction



Glenn



Na	VIER-STOK 3 – dimension	es Equ a nal – unstei	ations ^{ady}	Resea Cent	rch ter
Coordinates: (x,y,z) Velocity Components: (u,	Time:t Density:(,v,w) Total Er	Pressure: p > Stress: τ hergy: Et	D Heat F Reynold Prandt	lux: q ds Number: I Number:	Re Pr
Continuity: $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (t)}{\partial t}$	$\frac{(\rho u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho v)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial(\rho v)}{\partial y}$	$\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0$			
X – Momentum: $\frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial t}$ +	$+\frac{\partial(\rho u^2)}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial(\rho u v)}{\partial y}$	$+\frac{\partial(\rho uw)}{\partial z}=-$	$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{Re_r} \left[\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial x} \right]$	$\frac{xx}{x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{y}}{\partial y}$	$\frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial z}$
Y – Momentum: $\frac{\partial(\rho v)}{\partial t}$.	$+\frac{\partial(\rho uv)}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial(\rho v^2)}{\partial y}$	$\frac{1}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial(\rho vw)}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\partial z}$	$-\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{Re_r} \left[\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} \right]$	$\frac{xy}{x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yy}}{\partial y} +$	$\frac{\partial \tau_{yz}}{\partial z}$
Z – Momentum $\frac{\partial(\rho w)}{\partial t}$ + Energy:	$\frac{\partial(\rho uw)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\rho vw)}{\partial y}$	$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial(\rho w^2)}{\partial z} = -$	$-\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{Re_r} \left[\frac{\partial n}{\partial z} \right]$	$\frac{\tau_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yx}}{\partial y} + $	$\frac{\partial \tau_{zz}}{\partial z}$
$= \frac{\partial(E_T)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(uE_T)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(vE_T)}{\partial y} + $	$+\frac{\partial(wE_T)}{\partial z}=-\frac{\partial(u_F}{\partial x}$	$\frac{\partial (vp)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial (vp)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial (vp)}{\partial y}$	$\frac{(wp)}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{Re_r Pr_r}$	$\left[\frac{\partial q_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q_y}{\partial y}\right]$	$+\frac{\partial q_z}{\partial z}$
$+\frac{1}{Re_{r}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(u\tau_{xx}+v\tau_{x})\right]$	$_{xy} + w \tau_{xx}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (u \tau$	$\tau_{xy} + v \tau_{yy} + w \tau_y$	$(z) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(u \tau_{xz} + 1)$	$v \tau_{yz} + w \tau_{zz}$	

 $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + c \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0, \, c > 0$





Introduction – Approximation

How to approximate an unknown solution with possibly infinite number of degrees of freedom (nDOFs) with a limited nDOFs



- Piece-wise polynomials (FD, FV, FE, …)
- A global expansion composed of discrete sine and cosine functions (spectral method)
- A global high-order polynomial?



Degrees of Freedom

- >Finite difference (FD)
 - Nodal values on a set of discrete points
 - Local polynomial approximation
 - Discontinuous?
- Finite volume (FV)
 - Control volume averages
 - Local polynomial approximation
 - Discontinuous
- Finite element (FE)
 - Nodal or modal
 - Local polynomial approximation
 - Either continuous or discontinuous





Let's Start from the Very Beginning

>1st order FD upwind scheme

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + c \frac{(u_i - u_{i-1})}{\Delta x} = 0$$

*u*_{*i*-1} *u*_{*i*} *u*_{*i*} *i*-1 *i*

>1st order FV upwind scheme







How to Extend to Higher Order

Extend the stencil



>Add more degrees of freedom in element







Extending Stencil vs. More Internal DOFs

- Simple formulation and easy to understand for structured mesh
- Complicated boundary conditions: high-order one-sided difference on uniform grids may be unstable
- Not compact

- Boundary conditions trivial with uniform accuracy
- Non-uniform and unstructured grids
 - Reconstruction universal
- Scalable
 - Communication through immediate neighbor







Integrate in V_i

$$\int_{V_i} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) dx = \frac{\partial \overline{u}_i}{\partial t} \Delta x_i + \int_{i-1/2}^{i+1/2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx$$
$$= \frac{\partial \overline{u}_i}{\partial t} \Delta x_i + (f_{i+1/2} - f_{i-1/2}) = 0$$

12





Godunov FV Method (cont.)

- Assume the solution is piece-wise constant, or a degree 0 polynomial.
- However, a new problem is created. The solution is discontinuous at the interface
- How to compute the flux? f_{i+1/2} = [f (ū_i) + f (ū_{i+1})]/2
 A "shock-tube" problem solved to obtain the flux by Godunov
 Other Riemann solvers developed for efficiency





Discontinuous Galerkin Method

- >Originally developed in 1970s and popular since 1990s (Cockburn & Shu, Bassi & Rebay, ...)
- Each cell has enough DOFs so that neighboring data are not used in reconstructing a higher-degree polynomial
- Assume we choose a, b and c as the DOFs so that

$$U_i(x) = a_i + b_i x + c_i x^2, \quad x \in V_i$$





Discontinuous Galerkin Method (cont.)

However, at each cell we need to update 3 DOFs! How?Finite volume update

$$\int_{V_i} 1 * \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) dx = 0$$

>Two more equations based on weighed residual

$$\int_{V_i} x * \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) dx = 0 \qquad \int_{V_i} x^2 * \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) dx = 0$$

≻Then

$$\int_{V_i} \varphi \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right) dx = \int_{V_i} \varphi \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} dx + (\varphi \hat{f}_{Riem}) \Big|_{i-1/2}^{i+1/2} - \int_{V_i} f \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} dx = 0$$





Spectral Volume Method

- >Develop in early 2000s (Wang, Liu, ...)
- Each cell has again enough DOFs so that neighboring data are not used in reconstructing a higher-degree polynomial
- The DOFs are sub-cell averages. The number of sub-cells is k+1 in 1D
- The polynomial at each cell is reconstructed from the subcell averages





Spectral Volume Method (cont.)

The sub-cell averages are updated using a FV method on the sub-cell

$$\frac{d\overline{u}_{i,j}}{dt}\Delta x_{i,j} + (f_{i,j+1/2} - f_{i,j-1/2}) = 0$$

Riemann fluxes are only used across the cell interfaces
 Reconstruction universal



SD/Correction Procedure via Reconstruction

SD developed by Y. Liu et al in 2005 and CPR Developed by Huynh in 2007 and extended to simplex by Wang & Gao in 2009, ...

> It is a differential formulation like "finite difference"

$$\frac{\partial U_i(x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\langle F_i(x) \rangle}{\partial x} = 0, \quad U_i(x) \in P^k, \quad F_i(x) \in P^{k+1}$$

> The DOFs are solutions at a set of "solution points"



CPR (cont.)

> Find a flux polynomial $F_i(x)$ one degree higher than the solution, which minimizes

$$\left\|\tilde{F}_i(x) - F_i(x)\right\|$$

>The use the following to update the DOFs





CPR – SD/SV

If the new flux polynomial goes through the flux values at the flux points, the resultant scheme is spectral difference/volume







CPR – DG

> If the following equations are satisfied

$$\int_{V_i} \left[\tilde{F}_i(x) - F_i(x) \right] dx = 0$$
$$\int_{V_i} \left[\tilde{F}_i(x) - F_i(x) \right] x dx = 0$$

>The scheme is DG!





1D – P1 SV/SD and DG Schemes

$$\frac{\frac{du_{i,2}}{dt} + \frac{c}{\Delta x/2}(u_{i,2} - u_{i,1}) = 0}{\frac{du_{i,1}}{dt} + \frac{c}{\Delta x}(u_{i,2} + u_{i,1} - 3u_{i-1,2} + u_{i-1,1}) = 0}$$
SV/SD
$$\frac{\frac{du_{i,1}}{dt} + \frac{c}{4\Delta x}(3u_{i,2} + 7u_{i,1} - 15u_{i-1,2} + 5u_{i-1,1}) = 0}{\frac{du_{i,2}}{dt} + \frac{c}{4\Delta x}(9u_{i,2} - 11u_{i,1} + 3u_{i-1,2} - 5u_{i-1,1}) = 0}$$
DG
$$\frac{i-1}{dt} = \frac{i}{1} = \frac{1}{2}$$



THE UNIVERSITY OF

25



Outline

►Lecture 2:

- Extension to multiple dimensions
- Extension to viscous problems





CPR in 2D

Consider

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \nabla \bullet \vec{F}(Q) = 0$$



The weighted residual form is

$$\int_{V_i} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \nabla \bullet \vec{F}(Q) \right) W dV = \int_{V_i} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} W dV + \int_{\partial V_i} W \vec{F}(Q) \bullet \vec{n} dS - \int_{V_i} \nabla W \bullet \vec{F}(Q) dV = 0.$$

Let Q^h be the discontinuous approximate solution in P^k. The face flux integral replaced by a Riemann flux

$$\int_{V_i} \frac{\partial Q_i^h}{\partial t} W dV + \int_{\partial V_i} W \tilde{F}^n(Q_i^h, Q_{i+}^h, \vec{n}) dS - \int_{V_i} \nabla W \bullet \vec{F}(Q_i^h) dV = 0.$$





CPR in 2D (cont.)

Performing integration by parts to the last term

$$\int_{V_i} \frac{\partial Q_i^h}{\partial t} W dV + \int_{V_i} W \nabla \bullet \vec{F}(Q_i^h) dV + \int_{\partial V_i} W \Big[\tilde{F}^n(Q_i^h, Q_{i+}^h, \vec{n}) - F^n(Q_i^h) \Big] dS = 0.$$

Introduce the lifting operator

$$\int_{V_i} W \delta_i \ dV = \int_{\partial V_i} W \Big[\tilde{F} \Big] dS$$

where $\delta_i \in P^k$, $\left[\tilde{F}\right] = \left[\tilde{F}^n(Q_i^h, Q_{i+}^h, \vec{n}) - F_{\bullet}^n(Q_i^h)\right]$ Then we have

$$\int_{V_i} \frac{\partial Q_i^h}{\partial t} W dV + \int_{V_i} W \nabla \bullet \vec{F}(Q_i^h) dV + \int_{V_i} W \delta_i dV = 0,$$





CPR in 2D (cont.)

Or

$$\int_{V_i} \left(\frac{\partial Q_i^h}{\partial t} + \nabla \bullet \vec{F}(Q_i^h) + \delta_i \right) W dV = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial Q_i^h}{\partial t} + \nabla \bullet \vec{F}(Q_i^h) + \delta_i = 0.$$

In the new formulation, the weighting function completely disappears! Note that δ_i depends on W.





Lifting Operator – Correction Field

Obviously, the computation of δ_i is the key. From

$$\int_{V_i} W \delta_i \ dV = \int_{\partial V_i} W \Big[\tilde{F} \Big] dS,$$

If $[\tilde{F}], \delta_i \in P^k, \delta_i$ can be computed explicitly given W. Define a set of "flux points" along the faces, and set of solution points, where the "correction field" is computed as shown. Then

$$\delta_{i,j} = \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{f \in \partial V_i} \sum_{l} \alpha_{j,f,l} [\tilde{F}]_{f,l} S_f,$$

 $\alpha_{j,f,l}$: lifting coefficients independent of Q







CPR in 2D (cont.)

Finally the following equation is solved at the solution point j (collocation points)

$$\frac{\partial Q_{i,j}^h}{\partial t} + \nabla \bullet \vec{F}(Q_{i,j}^h) + \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{f \in \partial V_i} \sum_{l} \alpha_{j,f,l} [\tilde{F}]_{f,l} S_f = 0.$$

The first two terms correspond to the differential equation, and the 3rd term is the correction term.





Arrangement of SPs and FPs











Extension to High-Order Elements

Transform an iso-parametric element to the standard element

$$\mathbf{r} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} M_{j}(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\eta}) \mathbf{r}_{j}$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 0$$

becomes

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{Q}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial \eta} = 0$$



KU KANSAS



Extension to High-Order Elements (cont.)

where

$$\tilde{Q} = |J|Q$$
$$\tilde{E} = |J|(E\xi_x + F\xi_y)$$
$$\tilde{F} = |J|(E\eta_x + F\eta_y)$$

and

$$J = \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(\xi, \eta)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{\xi} & x_{\eta} \\ y_{\xi} & y_{\eta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{x} & \xi_{y} \\ \eta_{x} & \eta_{y} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$





Extension to High-Order Elements (cont.)

Apply CPR to the transformed equation on the standard element

$$\frac{\partial Q_{i,j}^{h}}{\partial t} + \nabla \bullet \vec{F}(Q_{i,j}^{h}) + \frac{2}{\left|J_{i,j}\right|} \sum_{f \in \partial V_{i}} \sum_{l} \alpha_{j,f,l} [\tilde{F}]_{f,l} S_{f,l} = 0.$$

For quadrilateral element, the CPR scheme is 1D in each coordinate direction!





 $[\hat{F}]_{f,\tilde{z}}$

 $[\hat{F}]_{f,2}$

Mixed Grids

>In order to minimize data reconstruction and communication, solution points coincide with flux points >For quadrilateral elements, the corrections are onedimensional! >Mass matrix is I for all cell-types



Extension to Viscous Flows

>How to deal with the second order derivative ?

- Second Order FV Method:
 - The solution gradients at an interface are sometimes computed by averaging the gradients of the neighboring cells sharing the face.
- High Order Method:
 - Local Discontinuous Galerkin (Cockburn and Shu), motivated by the numerical results of Bassi and Rebay
 - Internal Penalty







Formulation for 1D Heat Equation

Because the solution is SV-wise continuous, u_x not well defined at SV boundaries. Therefore it is replaced by a "numerical flux" \hat{u}_x

$$\frac{d\overline{u}_{i,j}(t)}{dt} - \frac{1}{h_{i,j}} (\hat{u}_x \big|_{i,j+1/2} - \hat{u}_x \big|_{i,j-1/2}) \approx 0$$

Formulation 1-Naïve SV Formulation

$$\hat{u}_x\Big|_{i,j+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[(u_x)_{i,j+1/2}^+ + (u_x)_{i,j+1/2}^- \Big]$$

THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS


Behaviors of the Naïve SV Formulation

t = 0.7



This formulation converges to the wrong solution ! Similar result by Cockburn and Shu



Formulation 1 - Local DG Formulation

Introducing an auxiliary unknown $q = u_x$

$$\begin{cases} u_t - q_x = 0\\ q - u_x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Integrating in a CV

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\overline{u}_{i,j}}{dt} - \frac{1}{h_{i,j}} (\hat{q}\big|_{i,j+1/2} - \hat{q}\big|_{i,j-1/2}) = 0\\\\ \overline{q}_{i,j} - \frac{1}{h_{i,j}} (\hat{u}\big|_{i,j+1/2} - \hat{u}\big|_{i,j-1/2}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Selecting "numerical flux" following LDG

$$\hat{u}\Big|_{i,j+1/2} = u\Big|_{i,j+1/2}^+ \qquad \hat{q}\Big|_{i,j+1/2} = q\Big|_{i,j+1/2}^-$$



Computational Results of LDG



(*k*+1)-th order achieved for a degree *k* polynomial reconstruction



Formulation 2 – Penalty Formulation

Numerical flux given by

$$\hat{u}_{x}\big|_{i,j+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[(u_{x})_{i,j+1/2}^{+} + (u_{x})_{i,j+1/2}^{-} \Big] + \frac{\varepsilon}{h_{i,j}} (u\big|_{i,j+1/2}^{+} - u\big|_{i,j+1/2}^{-}) \Big]$$

where ε is a constant. A Fourier analysis performed to choose the value ε . It was found ε =1 gives the highest order of accuracy for linear reconstruction.



Results of the Penalty Formulation



(k+1)-th order achieved for a degree k polynomial if k odd, otherwise k-th order.



CPR Formulation for Computing Gradients

Introduce another variable

 $R = Q_x$

Apply weighted residual to the above equation

$$\int_{V_i} RWdx = \int_{V_i} WQ_x dx = \int_{V_i} [(WQ)_x - QW_x] dx$$

$$= (WQ_{com}) \Big|_L^R - \int_{V_i} QW_x dx = [W(Q_{com} - Q)] \Big|_L^R + \int_{V_i} WQ_x dx$$
Let
$$\int_{V_i} \delta W dx = [W(Q_{com} - Q)] \Big|_L^R$$
Then

$$R_{i,j} = (Q_i)_{x,j} + \alpha_{L,j}(Q_{com,L} - Q_L) + \alpha_{R,j}(Q_{com,R} - Q_R)$$



CPR Formulation for Computing Gradients

Need to compute gradient

 $\vec{R} = \nabla Q$

Applying CPR to the above equation, we obtain

$$R_{i,j} = \left(\nabla Q_i^h\right)_j + \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{f \in \partial V_i} \sum_l \alpha_{j,f,l} [Q_{f,l}^{com} - Q_{i,f,l}]_{f,l} \vec{n}_f S_f$$





LDG on 2D Convection-Diffusion Equations

Consider

$$u_t + \nabla \bullet (\mathbf{\beta} u) - \nabla \bullet (\mu \nabla u) = 0$$

Introducing auxiliary variables

 $\mathbf{q} = \nabla u$ Integrating in a CV to obtain

$$\overline{\mathbf{q}}_{i,j} - \frac{1}{V_{i,j}} \sum_{r=1}^{K} \int_{A_r} \hat{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \mathbf{n} dA = 0$$
$$\frac{d\overline{u}_{i,j}}{dt} + \frac{1}{V_{i,j}} \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{K} \int_{A_r} (\boldsymbol{\beta} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \mathbf{n}) dA - \sum_{r=1}^{K} \int_{A_r} \mu \, \hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{n} dA \right\} = 0$$

KU KA



Numerical Flux Computation

Upwind for inviscid flux

$$\widetilde{u} = \begin{cases} u_L & \boldsymbol{\beta} \bullet \mathbf{n} > 0 \\ u_R & \boldsymbol{\beta} \bullet \mathbf{n} < 0 \end{cases}$$

Alternate directions for viscous and auxiliary "numerical fluxes"

or

$$\hat{u} \approx u_R \qquad \hat{\mathbf{q}} \approx \mathbf{q}_L$$

$$\hat{u} \approx u_L \qquad \hat{\mathbf{q}} \approx \mathbf{q}_R$$

KU

Results for 2D Convection-Diffusion Equations

$$u_t + (u_x + u_y) - 0.01(u_{xx} + u_{yy}) = 0, \ (x, y) \in [-1,1] \times [-1,1]$$
$$u(x, y, 0) = \sin(\pi(x + y))$$





Numerical Experiments on NS Equations

- Couette Flow
- Laminar Flow along a Flat Plate
- Subsonic Flow over a Circular Cylinder
- Laminar Subsonic Flow around NACA0012 Airfoil





2.0

1.5

► 1.0

0.5

0.0

-0.2

Couette Flow - Convergence

u-velocity Profile

(order = 3, simple8x8.DTF) (order = 3, simple8x8.DTF) 2.0 —-- initial 1.5 0-0 step = 100 - step = 500 $\Delta - \Delta$ step = 1000 initial ▲ step = 2000 O-O step = 100 □---□ step = 5000 **step** = 500 ► 1.0 **step** = 8000 $\Delta - \Delta$ step = 1000 🔶 step = 10000 ▲ step = 2000 + step = 20000 $\square \square$ step = 5000 steady solution **▲** → step = 8000 0.5 → step = 10000 ++ step = 20000 steady solution 0.0 0.8 0.2 0.8 1.0 1.2 0.0 0.4 0.6 0.65 0.7 0.6 0.75 0.85 Т u

Temperature Profile



Couette Flow – Numerical Accuracy Order



52 KU KANSAS



Laminar Flow along a Flat Plate

Flow Conditions:

- Free stream Ma = 0.3, Re = 10000
- Adiabatic plate, length = 1.0

The Thickness of Boundary Layer (at x=1.0):

$$\delta\big|_{x=1.0} = 5 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\mu \cdot x}{\rho_{\infty} \cdot u_{\infty}}}\Big|_{x=1.0} = 5 \cdot \frac{x}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Re}}\Big|_{x=1.0}} = 0.05$$

KU KANSAS





KU KANSAS







Coarse mesh -208 cells (8 cells along the plate) Medium mesh - 832 cells (16 cells along the plate) Fine mesh -3328 cells (32 cells along the plate)







u-velocity Profiles with Different SVs



56 KU KANSA



Skin Fraction Coefficient along the plate





Skin Fraction Coefficient (con'd)





Skin Fraction Coefficient (con'd)





Subsonic Flow over a Circular Cylinder

Flow Conditions: Ma = 0.2, Re = 75 Mesh Near the Cylinder



60 KU KANSAS



Schematic Structure and Mesh



Adiabatic wallFix every thingFix pressure

61 KU THE UNIVERSITY OF



Interested Phenomena

>The Von Karmen Vortex Street (generated by the cylinder)

- Mach contours
- Entropy contours
- Vorticity contours
- The Periodic Nature of the Flow
 - Pressure histories at different locations
 - The period of oscillations corresponds to a Stroual number of 0.151





Instantaneous Mach Contours



M = 0.2 flow over a circular cylinder at Re = 75







Instantaneous Entropy Contours



M = 0.2 flow over a circular cylinder at Re = 75



THE UNIVERSITY OF



Instantaneous Vorticity Contours



M = 0.2 flow over a circular cylinder at Re = 75



ERSITY OF



Pressure History at Point (1,1)





Pressure History at Point (5,1)





Pressure History at point (10,1)





Outline

Lecture 3:

- Boundary conditions
- Discontinuity capturing

 Limiter
 Artificial viscosity





Subsonic Inlet BC

The 1D characteristic theory is applied in the normal direction (approximately)

Since $v_n = \vec{v}_{\infty} \Box \vec{n} < 0$, there are two incoming and one outgoing characteristics

The three incoming Riemann invariants are:

 $p / \rho^{\gamma}, v_t, v_n - 2c / (\gamma - 1)$

which can be fixed at the free stream value. The outgoing invariant $v_n + 2c/(\gamma - 1)$ is computed at the interior point 1.





Subsonic Inlet BC (cont.)

Since the tangential velocity does not affect the normal flux, the following equations are sufficient to determine the flux

$$p / \rho^{\gamma} = p_{\infty} / \rho_{\infty}^{\gamma},$$

$$v_{n} - 2c / (\gamma - 1) = v_{\infty,n} - 2c_{\infty} / (\gamma - 1)$$

$$v_{n} + 2c / (\gamma - 1) = v_{1,n} + 2c_{1} / (\gamma - 1)$$

Alternatively, the incoming acoustic invariant can be replaced by the total enthalpy

 $(E+p) / \rho = (E_{\infty} + p_{\infty}) / \rho_{\infty}$ Finally the flux is computed using full flux $F^{n}(U_{b})$





Subsonic Outlet BC

There are 3 outgoing and 1 incoming characteristics since $v_n = \vec{v} \Box \vec{n} > 0$, only one physical condition can be fixed. One can either fix the incoming acoustic invariant or the exit pressure

$$p / \rho^{\gamma} = p_{1} / \rho_{1}^{\gamma}$$

$$v_{n} + 2c / (\gamma - 1) = v_{2,n} + 2c_{2} / (\gamma - 1)$$

$$v_{n} - 2c / (\gamma - 1) = v_{exit,n} - 2c_{exit} / (\gamma - 1) \text{ or } p = p_{exit}$$





Symmetry BC

For a symmetry BC, in order to achieve full compatibility with interior cells, split flux is used, i.e., $\tilde{F}(U_{in}, U_b, \vec{n})$

where U_{in} is the reconstructed solution at the boundary face from the interior cell, and U_{b} is computed based on the symmetry condition, i.e.,

$$p_{b} = p_{in}$$

$$\rho_{b} = \rho_{in}$$

$$\vec{v}_{b} = \vec{v}_{in} - 2(\vec{v}_{in} \Box \vec{n}) \vec{n}$$







Wall BC

>Either full or split flux can be used for a wall BC.

- At a wall, since the normal velocity vanishes, only a pressure term remains in the momentum flux. We could set the wall pressure to $p_b = p_{in}$
- An inviscid wall is identical to the symmetry boundary condition using a split flux.
- For a viscous wall assuming no-slip BC, the velocity is set at





Shock Capturing

- Solution truly discontinuous
- Smooth features look like discontinuities due to a lack of resolution
- There are two approaches
 - Limiter reconstruct the troubled cells to remove oscillations
 - Artificial viscosity by adding a dissipation term near the shock wave




Problem:

How to capture discontinuity sharply while preserving accuracy at local extrema?



p = 1 (2nd order scheme)

$$S_i = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \min \operatorname{mod}(\overline{u}_{i+1} - \overline{u}_i, \overline{u}_i - \overline{u}_{i-1})$$





Gibbs Phenomenon

P = 2









P = 5²Ę 1.5 1.5 1 1 0.5 0.5 J ⊐ 0 0 -0.5 -0.5 -1 -1 -1.5 -2**L** 0 -1.5 0.25 0.5 0.75 1 Х

Gibbs Phenomenon (cont.)







Parameter-Free AP-TVD Marker

>Troubled cell method: Marker + Limiter





Parameter-Free Accuracy-Preserving TVD Marker

)
$$\overline{u}_{\max,i} = \max(\overline{u}_{i-1}, \overline{u}_i, \overline{u}_{i+1})$$
 and $\overline{u}_{\min,i} = \min(\overline{u}_{i-1}, \overline{u}_i, \overline{u}_{i+1})$
If $u_{j,i} > 1.001 \cdot \overline{u}_{\max,i}$ or $u_{j,i} < 0.999 \cdot \overline{u}_{\min,i}$, $(j = 1, p + 2)$
then cell *i* is considered as a possible troubled cell.

2)
$$\tilde{u}_{i}^{(2)} = \min \mod(\overline{u}_{i}^{(2)}, \beta \frac{\overline{u}_{i+1}^{(1)} - \overline{u}_{i}^{(1)}}{x_{i+1} - x_{i}}, \beta \frac{\overline{u}_{i}^{(1)} - \overline{u}_{i-1}^{(1)}}{x_{i} - x_{i-1}}).$$
 (for $p > 1$)

If $\tilde{u}_i^{(2)} = \overline{u}_i^{(2)}$ then cell *i* is unmarked as a troubled cell; Otherwise cell *i* is confirmed as a troubled cell.

$$(\beta = 1.5)$$





Parameter-Free AP TVD Marker















Parameter-Free AP TVD Marker







Parameter-Free AP TVD Marker









Generalized Moment Limiter: 1D

If cell *i* has been marked as a troubled cell, then (1) Reconstruction

$$\begin{split} u_i(x) &= \overline{u}_i + \overline{u}_i'(x - x_i) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \overline{u}_i^{(2)} [(x - x_i)^2 - \frac{1}{12} h_i^2] \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} \overline{u}_i^{(3)} [(x - x_i)^3 - \frac{1}{4} h_i^2(x - x_i)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{24} \overline{u}_i^{(4)} [(x - x_i)^4 - \frac{1}{2} h_i^2(x - x_i)^2 + \frac{7}{240} h_i^4] \\ &+ \dots \end{split}$$

Functional Equivalent to the original solution polynomial





Generalized Moment Limiter: 1D

(2) Hierachically Limiting $\overline{Y}_{i}^{(p)} = \min \mod \left(\overline{u}_{i}^{(p)}, \beta \frac{\overline{u}_{i+1}^{(p-1)} - \overline{u}_{i}^{(p-1)}}{x_{i+1} - x_{i}}, \beta \frac{\overline{u}_{i}^{(p-1)} - \overline{u}_{i-1}^{(p-1)}}{x_{i} - x_{i-1}} \right).$ If $\overline{Y}_{i}^{(p)} = \overline{u}_{i}^{(p)}$, then NO limiting for (1).

Otherwise,

$$\overline{Y}_{i}^{(k)} = \operatorname{minmod}\left(\overline{u}_{i}^{(k)}, \beta \frac{\overline{u}_{i+1}^{(k-1)} - \overline{u}_{i}^{(k-1)}}{x_{i+1} - x_{i}}, \beta \frac{\overline{u}_{i}^{(k-1)} - \overline{u}_{i-1}^{(k-1)}}{x_{i} - x_{i-1}}\right), \quad (k = p-1)$$

$$\overline{Y}_{i}^{(k)} \stackrel{?}{=} \overline{u}_{i}^{(k)} \quad (k = p-1).$$
Yes. \longrightarrow **NO further limiting NO.** \longrightarrow **Do limiting and check for** $k = p-2$





Generalized Moment Limiter

>P = 2



- Mark
- Solution points
- Original construction
- Reconstruction (1) on all cells
 - Limited all cells (2) on all cells





Generalized Moment Limiter

Example:

p = 5



- Mark
- Solution points
- Original construction
- Reconstruction (1) on all cells
 - Limiting (2) on all cells







1. Accuracy study



Linear Advection Equation

$$u_t + u_x = 0$$

10⁻⁴ Error (L1 norm) 10 10⁻¹ 10⁻¹ Limited, 3rd-order Without limiting, 3rd-order Limited, 4th-order 10⁻¹³ Without limiting, 4th-order Limited, 5th-order - Without limiting, 5th-order Limited, 6th-order Without limiting, 6th-order 10 0.01 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.02 dx

10 3

Non-Linear Burgers Equation

$$u_t + (u^2 / 2)_x = 0$$





Numerical Tests

2. 1D Discontinuity with $u_t + u_x = 0$







Numerical Tests

3. 1D Burgers Equation $u_t + (u^2/2)_x = 0$







Sod Shock Tube Problem



Shock Acoustic-Wave Interaction



YOF



Numerical Tests

6. 2D shock vortex interaction

3rd-order PFGM Limiter







Linear Limiter











Localized Laplacian Artificial Viscosity

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^{inv}(Q) = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{F}^{av}(Q, \nabla Q)$$

Laplacian: $F^{av}(Q, \nabla Q) = \varepsilon \nabla Q$

For each element *e*:

 ε_0

 S_e

$$\varepsilon_{e} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{0} \left(1 + \sin \frac{\pi(S_{e} - S_{0})}{2\kappa} \right) & \text{if } S_{e} < S_{0} - \kappa \\ \text{if } S_{0} - \kappa \leq S_{e} \leq S_{0} + \kappa \\ \text{if } S_{e} > S_{0} + \kappa. \end{cases}$$
Parameters in ε_{e} :

$$\varepsilon_{0} = \int (\Delta \xi_{max}) \cdot h \cdot |\lambda|_{max}$$

$$S_{e} = \log_{10} \frac{\langle U - U^{p}, U - U^{p} \rangle_{e}}{\langle U, U \rangle_{e}}$$
Adopted in the study is the standard interval of the standard interv







Ma 3 Wind Tunnel with a Foreword Step



Free stream Ma =3, P^2 reconstruction (3rd order), Grid size: 1/80, with clustered elements of size 1/320 near the sharp corner.





Shock-Vortex Interaction



Free stream Ma =1.1, P^3 reconstruction (4th order), Computational domain: $[0,2] \times [0,1]$, 100×50 elements. Small isentropic vortex is

superposed to the supersonic flow.



Artificial viscosity





Ma 3 Oblique Shock





Outline

Lecture 4:

- Verification and Validation
- Solution based hp-adaptations
- Sample demonstration problems
- Summary





Introduction

- Verification: The process of determining that a model implementation accurately represents the developer's conceptual description of the model and the solution to the model
- Validation: The process of determining the degree to which a model is an accurate representation of the real world from the perspective of the intended uses of the model. (AIAA G-077-1998) – comparison with experimental data





How to Verify Your Code

Closure condition of your control volume

 $\oint_{\partial V_i} \vec{n} dS = 0$

Free-stream preservation (extrapolation boundary condition everywhere)

 $\mathbf{R}(Q) = \mathbf{0}$

- Exactly preserve a polynomial of a certain degree
- Accuracy study with grid refinement

$$p = \frac{\ln(Error_{\Delta x} / Error_{\Delta x/2})}{\ln(2)}$$





Problems with Analytical Solutions

Many cases are included in the 1st International Workshop on High-Order CFD Methods (<u>http://zjwang.com/hiocfd.html</u>)

- Vortex propagation
- Ringleb flow
- Subsonic inviscid flow: entropy is constant

Manufactured solutions





Selected Results – hp-Adaptations

Discretization error reduction

- P-enrichment: smooth flow regions (Weierstrass theorem)
- H-refinement: geometry or flow singularities
- Anisotropic adaptation: shear layers, shocks,...
- >Adaptation criteria/error indicators
 - Feature-based: simple, ad hoc, less rigorous
 - Residual-based: may lead to false refinements
 - Adjoint-based: adapt the mesh in regions affecting the output, and estimate the error in the output



Review of Adjoint-Based Adaptive Methods

Adjoint-based adaptive methods

Dynamically distribute computer resources to regions which are important for predicting engineering outputs

Current status of the output-based adaptation methods

- 2D/3D complex geometry
- Steady/unsteady
- Euler/NS/RANS
- Anisotropic hp-adaptations

[Giles and Pierce,1997; Becker and Rannacher,2001; Venditti and Darmofal, 2002; Hartmann and ouston,2002; Nielsen et al, 2004; Fidkowski and Darmofal,2007; Hartmann,2007; Mani and Mavriplis, 2007; Nemec et al, 2008; Park, 2008; Wang and Mavriplis,2009; Oliver and Darmofal, 2008; Fdikoswski and Roe, 2009; Ceze and Fdikoswski,2012;...]



Fully Discrete Adjoint

Let $R_h(Q_h)$ be the residual, $J_h(Q_h)$ be the output. Let Q be the exact solution. The solution error is $\delta Q = Q - Q_h$. Since $R(Q) = R(Q_h + \delta Q) = 0$, We have

$$R(\mathbf{Q}_h) + \frac{\partial R_h}{\partial Q_h} \delta Q \approx 0. \quad \delta Q \approx -\left(\frac{\partial R_h}{\partial Q_h}\right)^\top R(\mathbf{Q}_h)$$

The output error is

$$\delta J_{h} = J_{h}(Q) - J_{h}(Q_{h}) = \frac{\partial J_{h}}{\partial Q_{h}} \delta Q = -\frac{\partial J_{h}}{\partial Q_{h}} \left(\frac{\partial R_{h}}{\partial Q_{h}}\right)^{-1} R(Q_{h})$$

Denote the adjoint $\tilde{\psi}_{h}^{T} = -\frac{\partial J_{h}}{\partial Q_{h}} \left(\frac{\partial R_{h}}{\partial Q_{h}}\right)^{-1}$ Then $\delta J_{h} = \tilde{\psi}_{h}^{T} R(Q_{h})$
$$-\frac{\partial J_{h}}{\partial Q_{h}} = \tilde{\psi}_{h}^{T} \frac{\partial R_{h}}{\partial Q_{h}} \qquad -\frac{\partial R_{h}}{\partial Q_{h}}^{T} \tilde{\psi}_{h} = \frac{\partial J_{h}}{\partial Q_{h}}^{T}$$

107 KO KANSAS

July 15, 2014



The Fully Discrete Adjoint for the CPR Method

NACA 0012 at $M_{\infty} = 0.4$, $\alpha = 5^{\circ}$

- The x-mom of the lift adjoint
- Fully discrete adjoint
- Highly-oscillating adjoint solution

 $-\frac{\partial R_h}{\partial Q_h} \tilde{\psi}_h = \frac{\partial J_h}{\partial Q_h}$







Dual Consistency

A residual from a differential schemes at SP j of cell i $R(Q)_{i,j} = \nabla \cdot \vec{f}(Q_i)_j + \frac{1}{|V_i|} \sum_{f \in \partial V_i} \sum_{l} \alpha_{j,f,l} [F^n]_{f,l} S_f$

With fully discrete approach

$$-\sum_{i}\sum_{j}\frac{\partial R_{i,j}}{\partial Q_{k}}\tilde{\psi}_{i,j} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial Q_{k}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N_{DOF}$$

To be dual consistent,

$$-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial N(Q)}{\partial Q}^{T} \psi dV = \frac{\partial J}{\partial Q}^{T}$$





Discrete Adjoint for the CPR in the Integral Form

$$-\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial N(Q)}{\partial Q}^{T} \psi dV = \frac{\partial J}{\partial Q}^{T}$$

>Approximate ψ_i using the basis L_j from the primal solution space $\psi_i = \sum L \hat{\psi}$

$$\psi_i = \sum_j L_j \hat{\psi}_{i,j}$$

Directly discretizing the continuous adjoint eqn

$$-\sum_{i}\sum_{j}\frac{\partial R_{i,j}}{\partial Q_{k}}\omega_{j}\left|J_{i,j}\right|\hat{\psi}_{i,j}=\frac{\partial J}{\partial Q_{k}}$$

> The difference between $\hat{\psi}_{i,j}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{i,j}$

$$\tilde{\psi}_{i,j} = \omega_j \left| J_{i,j} \right| \hat{\psi}_{i,j}$$



Comparison of the Adjoints with the CPR Method

NACA 0012 at $M_{\infty} = 0.4$, $\alpha = 5^{\circ}$

- The x-mom of the lift adjoint
- Fully discrete adjoint
- Discrete adjoint in the integral form





The inconsistent adjoint

Dual consistent adjoint





The Adjoint-based Error Estimation

>Output error est.: adjoint solution weighted primal residual $\delta J_h(\mathbf{Q}_h) = J_h(\mathbf{Q}_h) - J_h(\mathbf{Q}_H) \approx (\hat{\psi}_h)^T R_h(Q_h^H)$

>Adjoint-based local error indicator $\eta = \left| (\hat{\psi}_h)^T R_h (Q_h^H) \right|$

Multi-p residual-based error indicator







Adjoint-based error indicator



July 15, 2014


Accuracy Test of the Adjoint-based Error Est.







NACA 0012 at $M_{\infty} = 0.5$, $\alpha = 2^{\circ}$

- The lift as the output J
- The effectivity of the error est.

 $\eta_H^e = \frac{-(\psi_h)^T R_h(Q_h^H)}{J_H(Q_H) - J_h(Q_h)}$

Cells	$\mathcal{J}_H(Q_H) - \mathcal{J}_h(Q_h)$	$-(\psi_h)^T \mathcal{R}_h(Q_h^H)$	η_H^e
280	-5.859e-3	-1.103e-3	1.88
1120	-2.638e-3	-4.002e-3	1.52
4480	-8.736e-4	-9.995e-4	1.14
17920	-1.933e-4	-1.988e-4	1.03

113

July 15, 2014



Subsonic Flow Over a NACA 0012 Airfoil

>Iso/aniso H-adaptation >Fixed fraction f = 0.1 >Inviscid, $M_{\infty} = 0.5$, $\alpha = 2^{\circ}$ >3rd order scheme

Adaptation strategies

- Hanging nodes
- No-hanging nodes
- Error indicators
 - lift adjoint
 - drag adjoint





Initial solution





Subsonic Flow Over a NACA 0012 Airfoil

>Iso/aniso H-adaptation >Fixed fraction f = 0.1 >Inviscid, $M_{\infty} = 0.5$, $\alpha = 2^{\circ}$ >3rd order scheme



Initial mesh

Adaptation strategies

- Hanging nodes
- No-hanging nodes
- Error indicators
 - lift adjoint
 - drag adjoint



The adapted solution

Subsonic Flow Over a NACA 0012 Airfoil



116





117

July 15, 2014





Aniso lift adjoint





Aniso drag adjoint



July 15, 2014



The Supersonic Vortex Transportation Problem®



P2 error estimate

P1 error estimate



Inviscid Flow over the NACA-0012 Airfoil



Initial mesh



au



Adapted solution











CD el

Laminar Flow over NACA-0012 (α =1°, Re=5000)



Initial solution





Adapted solution









Remaining Challenges in High-Order Methods

- >High-order grid generation, highly clustered curved meshes near wall
- Error estimates and solution-based hp-adaptations
- >Low memory efficient solver
- Shock capturing to preserve accuracy in smooth regions, convergent and parameter-free

